dren's nutrition, and leaves us with millions of hungry or malnourished kids. What a strange way to invest in this country's future.

Our Republican colleagues say these cuts are only intended to eliminate bureaucracy or waste. If that were the case, we would all vote for them.

The truth is that Republicans are playing a dangerous shell game. They want to shift the responsibility for children's health to the States, but cut billions of dollars of funding that the States would need to provide that help. These extremists say we cannot afford to support food for hungry children in America, but actually they are making these cuts to finance fantasy projects like star wars and massive tax cuts for the less than 1 percent of Americans who make over \$200,000 per year.

□ 0915

Mr. Speaker, I have heard from hundreds of parents, day care providers, and teachers who know the importance of good childhood nutrition. Perhaps if children could vote, they would not be trashed by the Contract on America.

WELCOME TO BILL CLINTON'S AMERICA

(Mrs. SMITH of Washington asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mrs. SMITH of Washington. Mr. Speaker, here is what Bill Clinton's Big Government agenda has wrought.

The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission last year investigated a woman's complaint that a company refused to offer her a job after she told them of her disability. What was the disability? Well, it was a molar that contained a microchip that "spoke" to her and others.

Now the EEOC took the complaint seriously, and forced company officials to respond and supply "any supporting documentation."

One can only wonder what that supporting documentation might look like.

Welcome to Bill Clinton's America.

This is just another example why we need to pass the Regulatory Transition Act, a bill that will institute a moratorium on new Federal regulations while including some commonsense exceptions.

Americans are sick of Big Brother Government, Mr. Speaker. Let us get on with cutting Big Brother down to size.

LET US KEEP THE NUTRITION PROGRAMS

(Mrs. SCHROEDER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. SCHROEDER. Mr. Speaker, guess who is going to pay for all those political promises, to the rich, of a tax cut? We now know. America's poorest children. We see them cutting and

slashing with glee the nutrition programs that feed the lowest income children in America. That program was started in 1946 after World War II, when America became so concerned that many of the recruits could not pass muster because of malnutrition.

Mr. Speaker, imagine a country that feeds the world now refusing to feed their own children so they can feed the fat cats that came to the fancy dinner. That is what this is about.

How awful it is to see America's politicians pull up to the table as they start slashing their budget and throw children out first. Children should be the last to go out, and now we see that they are the first to go out.

I hope that sends a real message as to what their vision of America is about. It is not mine.

TEAM AMERICA NEEDS A TIME $$\operatorname{\textsc{OUT}}$$

(Mr. LAHOOD asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. LaHOOD. Mr. Speaker, in football or basketball, a team may call a timeout when they have lost control of the game and they need time to get their act together. Sometimes you need that timeout to catch your breath or slow your opponent's momentum.

Well, I happen to believe that the Federal Government has lost control of the regulatory process in America. That's why we need to take a timeout from passing new regulations.

As we speak, the Clinton administration is planning to pursue another 4,300 new regulations for this fiscal year. That is too much, especially from an administration that claims to be reinventing Government.

Mr. Speaker, Team America needs a timeout to stop our opponents, Team Regulation, from running roughshod over us. Let's vote for H.R. 450 and return sanity back to Federal regulations.

ALLEGED VIOLATIONS UNDER-SCORE NEED FOR OUTSIDE INDE-PENDENT COUNSEL

(Ms. DELAURO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, the ethical cloud hanging over Speaker GING-RICH's head grows darker each day. Despite the Speaker's contention that his college course is purely an academic venture, Kennesaw State College officials, where the course was once taught, tell a different story.

According to news reports, Timothy Mescon, the dean of Kennesaw College, now says that political and academic resources were commingled in the class

In 1993, 40 of Speaker GINGRICH's colleagues at Kennesaw College wrote to the dean to protest the political nature of his course. They wrote: "It appears

that we are all acting as a part of the reelection campaign for Mr. GINGRICH, or laying the groundwork for his future political ambitions." Finally, Lois Kubal, who helped put the course together, said: "The class * * * was intended to be partisan and very political."

If these latest allegations by former Gingrich allies are true, the Speaker's course is in violation of both campaign finance laws and tax laws. They underscore the need for an independent, outside counsel to investigate this mess.

RESTORING REASON TO THE REGULATORY PROCESS

(Mr. WELLER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WELLER. Mr. Speaker, last fall Republicans promised the American people we would reduce the size and cost of the Federal Government and we are keeping that promise with unfunded mandates reform and the lineitem veto. We will continue to keep our promise by cutting the Federal regulations that are choking the life out of the little guy—small business and its consumers.

Mr. Speaker, Federal regulations are costing consumers over \$500 billion a year. That is right—red tape is costing \$10,000 a year for the average family of four. These regulations can be even more costly to a small business. One small business was fined \$6,000 because an employee violated OSHA rules when he rescued a coworker trapped under a pile of dirt.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to restore reason and common sense to the regulatory process. We need to continue to work in a bipartisan way to reduce necessary and overbearing Federal regulations.

KEEP THE CHILD NUTRITION PROGRAMS

(Ms. JACKSON-LEE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. JACKSON-LEE. Mr. Speaker, Marie Antoinette said, "Let them eat cake." I believe the Republicans have said very loudly, "Let them eat ketchup." As a parent, I know what it is to have children in school, participating in programs that help them survive. I realize that when you talk about children, they do not vote.

But I find the Republican proposal to cut school lunches absolutely appalling. Over 13 million children and their parents rely on the school nutrition programs. If the Republicans are allowed to cut \$5 billion over the next 5 years from the WIC and child nutrition programs, our children will be the losers.

Today 5 million children under 12 are hungry. I simply want to show you the